

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JAMAR HUNTER,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 OFFICER D. WOOD P#17066, LVMPD, et
7 al.,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00269-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 6]

9 On April 20, 2024, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case
10 without prejudice because plaintiff Jamar Hunter did not file an amended complaint by the given
11 deadline. ECF No. 6. Hunter did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo
12 review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to
13 “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to
14 which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
15 (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations
16 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 6), and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to
19 close this case.

20 DATED this 1st day of May, 2024.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE